



2450 Del Paso Road, Suite 105 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 575-7170, (916) 575-7292 Fax www.optometry.ca.gov

To: Board Members Date: November 4, 2016

From: Joanne Stacy Telephone: (916) 575-7182

Policy Analyst

Subject: Agenda Item 12 – Update on 2016 Legislation Impacting Healing Arts Boards

and the Practice of Optometry

The following bills, as currently written, impact the Board's functions and the practice of optometry. Legislation versions and status change frequently. The information below is current as of August 10, 2016. To view the most recent bill version, status and corresponding analysis, please click on the applicable hyperlinks below.

### A. Senate Bill 482 (Lara) Controlled Substances: CURES Database

<u>Summary:</u> This bill requires prescribers to consult the Controlled Substances Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) prior to prescribing a Schedule II or III drug to a patient for the first time and delays implementation of this requirement until the Department of Justice (DOJ) certifies that the CURES database is ready for statewide use.

Status: Passed by Senate & Assembly, Signed by the Governor on 9/27

Recent Board Analysis: 08/05/16 – Assembly Floor Analyses

<u>Board Impact</u>: The impact to the Board would be minor. Licensees are already required to register on the CURES system. By adding the requirement to use the system, enforcement may see a slight increase for non-compliance. The Board previously discussed the CURES requirement and expressed frustration with the requirement for licensees to pay for and register in the system but no requirement to actually use the system. At that time, the Board discussed exploring future legislation to mandate optometrists use the system when prescribing controlled substances. Thus, this bill does what the Board believed needed to be done already.

## B. Senate Bill 622 (Hernandez): Optometry

<u>Summary:</u> This bill would make various expansions in the scope of practice for optometrists and authorize certification in specified laser procedures, minor surgical procedures, and vaccinations.

Status: Pulled by author while in Assembly Business and Professions

Recent Bill Analysis: 07/13/15- Assembly Business and Professions

<u>Potential Board Impact:</u> While this bill increases the scope of optometrists, the way the bill is currently written, the impact to the Board is expected to be minor. BreEZe configuration changes would need to be done and minor regulations would need to be drafted to fully implement the bill.

## C. Senate Bill 1039 (Hill) Professions and Vocations

<u>Summary:</u> This is an omnibus bill which includes several changes to a number of boards under the Department of Consumer Affairs and also includes specified fee increases for several boards including the Board of Registered Nursing, the Pharmacy Board, the Contractors State License Board and the Court Reporters Board. This measure would also eliminate the current Telephone Medical Advice Services Bureau.

Status: Passed by Senate & Assembly, Signed by the Governor on 9/29

Recent Bill Analysis: 08/01/16 - Assembly Appropriations

<u>Board Impact:</u> The new RDO fee structure has been added with the other entities requesting fee increases.

The previous version of this bill deleted a provision that allows contact lens and spectacle lens dispensing applications to expire. This was unintended consequence of code clean up and had been fixed by legislative council. The bill now keeps the language is the current code section.

Attached is the RDO fee structure the Board approved during the May 2016 meeting and was amended into SB 1039.

#### **Attachment:**

1. Approved RDO Fee Structure

# Registered Dispensing Opticians Program - Proposed Fee Increase with New Application Fee (25% increase)

Fee Type	Estimated Population (Per Fee Audit)	Estimated Population (3 year average)	Estimated Population (Board License Counts + 3 year average Initials)	urrent Fee	nt Propose Fee		Estimated Revenue (Current Fees)		Estimated Revenue (Proposed Fees + Fee Audit Counts)		Estimated Revenue (Proposed Fees + 3 yr average Count)		Estimated Revenue (Proposed Fees + Board License Count)		% Increase to Fees	Proposed Fee Ceiling +25%		Estimated Revenue (Proposed Fees + Board License Count)	
Application Fee	396	363	363	\$ -	\$	150	\$	-	\$	59,400	\$	54,450	\$	54,450	N/A	\$	200	\$	72,600
RDO Initial CLD Initial SLD Initial OSC Initial	83 72 240 1	73 77 213 0	77 213	\$ 75 75 75 100	<b>\$</b> \$ \$ \$	200 200 200 200	\$ \$ \$ \$	6,225 5,400 18,000 100	\$ \$ \$ \$	16,600 14,400 48,000 200	\$	15,400 42,600	\$ \$ \$ \$	14,600 15,400 42,600	167% 167% 167% 100%	<mark>\$</mark> \$ \$	300 300 300 300	\$ \$ \$	21,900 23,100 63,900
RDO Renewal CLD Renewal SLD Renewal OSC Renewal	517 473 989 4	507 447 984 4	476 483 1118 5	\$ 75 75 75 100	\$ \$ \$ \$	200 200 200 200	\$ \$ \$	38,775 35,475 74,175 400	\$ \$ \$	103,400 94,600 197,800 800	\$	89,400 196,800	\$ \$ \$	95,200 96,600 223,600 1,000	167% 167% 167%	\$ \$ \$ \$	300 300 300 300	\$	142,800 144,900 335,400 1,500
RDO Delinq CLD Delinq SLD Delinq OSC Delinq	40 71 167 0	30 53 146 0	29 80	\$ 25 25 25 25	<del>\$</del> \$ \$ \$	50 50 50 50	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,000 1,775 4,175	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,000 3,550 8,350		2,650	\$ \$ \$ \$	900 1,450 4,000	100% 100% 100% 100%	<mark>\$</mark> \$ \$	75 75 75 75	\$ \$ \$	1,350 2,175 6,000
Total							\$	185,500	\$	549,100	\$	526,900	\$	549,800	196%			\$	815,625